Economic Case For Using \textit{FOUNDATION} Technology

Innovative segment design approach ensures greater ROI

By Mike O’Neill, Director
MooreHawke Division of Moore Industries-International, Inc.

Modern DCS systems are major distributed networks with multiple data transmission paths, which, in the interests of security and the highest plant availability, are almost always duplicated and made redundant. This article describes how \textit{FOUNDATION} fieldbus systems can now incorporate redundancy and fault-tolerance right down to the H1 field layer. The major impact is on project ROI and plant revenues, and only \textit{FOUNDATION} technology can offer this level of security and benefit to the plant operator.
It's almost ironic: network cables in the benign, well-managed control room environment are almost always made redundant, whereas field cables exposed to the harsh and sometimes corrosive environment of the modern industrial plant have to fend for themselves. Of course, while the field cables carried simple point-to-point communications as 4-20mA, then redundancy wasn't really a concern in general, and specific devices could be duplicated as required. However, now that the lowest fieldbus physical layer carries data from up to 32 devices, the vulnerability of that cable can constitute a reliability issue, particularly if those devices are safety-related or process-critical.

Conventional fieldbus segment design does not lend itself to any version of fault-tolerance except through complete and wholesale duplication, and in a systems context, that duplication brings with it a requirement for “special” software to implement 1oo2/2oo3 voting schemes and special measures for safe maintenance, device replacement, etc.

In 2007, a new fault-tolerant segment design was released that permits a far higher segment MTBF than conventional designs without any special software in the DCS and for only the additional cost of an extra trunk cable. Working with a leading DCS supplier and a major oil & gas company, this package was installed on a set of platforms in the South China Sea simply to mitigate the huge financial risk associated with loss of control. The question is, does this increase in availability really make any significant difference to the economics of the general fieldbus installation?

The answer is not a simple yes or no, because a fault-tolerant system allows a user to make permutations that match the desire for high plant availability against a budget for the systems hardware. These permutations (simplex vs. duplex vs. fault-tolerant) were simply not possible in previous fieldbus physical layer products.

The standard segment design process takes two controllers (H1 cards) and two power conditioners and connects to the field using a single cable. This can be called a duplex segment design. Based on conventional MTBF data books and data from other sources (Reliability, Maintainability and Risk, 7th Edition, by Dr. David J. Smith), we can evaluate the MTBF of such a segment. Figure 1 below shows such a segment and the physical layer components in that configuration give a calculated MTBF of some 50 years.

Some users also admit to the possibility that some FOUNDATION fieldbus devices are not that critical to plant operation, and that these devices can be connected via a single controller. If this were to be allowed, a new segment design could be used.
called a simplex segment as indicated in Figure 2 above. The physical layer components in that configuration give a calculated MTBF of some 30 years. Regrettably, very few project specifications allow for simplex segments, which may be because most vendors only offer duplex segments.

The fault-tolerant design utilizes a unique power conditioner that can detect open-circuit and short-circuit conditions in the field at up to 1,000 meters of standard cable. It is matched to a field wiring hub that can react to the loss of a trunk cable (out of an active pair) by automatically terminating the segment via the remaining cable. (It may be a surprise to many, but standard power conditioners do not effectively detect field short-circuits over a couple of hundred meters and, when faced with a remote short-circuit, the standard power conditioner simply tries to drive into what it thinks is a high load, getting progressively hotter and hotter until premature failure).

The fault-tolerant segment design takes two controllers, two advanced power conditioners and a high-integrity wiring hub, but connects to the field with two trunk cables, one per power conditioner. The segment layout is as indicated in Figure 3 on page 29, and the calculated MTBF is around 350 years. This is a factor of 10x the simplex segment, and 7x the duplex segment, for the cost of an extra cable.

Significantly, this version of fault-tolerance does not depend upon monitoring and switching mechanisms. Both trunks are continuously active as opposed to the alternative “one active, one hot spare” configuration. Designing systems for redundancy using watchdogs and switches is inherently complex and rarely results in improvements in MTBF, since the failure rate of the switch acts against the parallel failure rate of the “spare” trunk. This version of fault-tolerance meets the requirements of FOUNDATION fieldbus Safety Instrumented Functions (SIF) since there are very few unrevealed faults, and the highly critical “Probability of Failure on Demand” factor is kept low by continuous diagnostics and simple non-destructive testing — unplugging one of the trunk cables annually demonstrates the safety functionality in a similar fashion to partial stroke testing of shutdown/isolation valves.

**Segment design costs**

For example, let’s base this discussion on a plant with 120 segments, or about 1,440 fieldbus instruments, such as flow transmitters, valve controllers, etc. This plant can be described in terms of how many segments are related to control of the plant, and how many are related to simple monitoring. Let’s say that 80 segments are monitoring-only and 40 segments have control. Of the 40 control segments, let’s say that 12 segments have loops which are process-critical: failure in any of those segments would cause immediate plant shutdown or product that was out of specification and hence non-saleable).

**Segment Types**

- **Monitoring**
  - Number of Segments: 80
  - Total: 80

- **Control-Related**
  - Number of Segments: 28
  - Total: 28

- **Process-Critical**
  - Number of Segments: 12
  - Total: 12

**Total**: 120

Let’s assume the following prices for fieldbus equipment, which are typical across the industry from physical layer vendors.

- **$390** Power Conditioner
- **$240** Carrier, 4-segment, Simplex
- **$320** Carrier, 4-segment, Duplex
- **$280** Carrier, 4-segment, Fault-Tolerant
- **$450** Diagnostics Module, Standard
- **$350** Standard Coupler, 12-spur
- **$700** High-Integrity Coupler, 12-spur
- **$500** Trunk Cable

We can now start to compare costs between the conventional design and the new approach.

**Conventional Segments:**

- **30 x $320** Carriers, 4-segment, Duplex (1 per 4 segments)
- **240 x $390** Power Conditioners (2 per segment)
- **30 x $450** Diagnostics Module, Standard (1 per 4 segments)
- **120 x $350** Standard Coupler, 12-spur (1 per segment)
- **120 x $500** Trunk Cable (1 per segment)

**Total (Conventional) = $218,700**

**Simplex Segments:**

- **20 x $240** Carrier, 4-segment, Simplex (1 per 4 segments)
- **80 x $390** Power Conditioner (1 per segment)
- **20 x $450** Diagnostics Module, Standard (1 per 4 segments)
- **80 x $350** Standard Coupler, 12-spur (1 per segment)
- **80 x $500** Trunk Cable (1 per segment)

**Total (New Approach) = $198,170**

The conventional approach for 120 segments takes 240 power conditioners. The new approach allows savings for the 80 monitoring-only (simplex) segments as these have only one power conditioner. Of course, the conventional system could also fit single power conditioners, but since they still have duplex carriers, two power conditioners are fitted by everyone as a matter of routine.) The duplex segments have dual power conditioners as is common practice, and the fault-tolerant segments also have two power conditioners, but they are physically separated onto different carriers and connected to the field through two cables. In total, the new approach has 160 power conditioners.

The net result is that this new approach leads to somewhat lower costs, even when allowing for the additional trunk cable used in the fault-tolerant segment layouts. The savings may be greater still; many end user specifications restrict process-critical segments (commonly defined as “Level 1” criticality) to having just one valve and one transmitter in that segment. It seems ridiculous to install a fieldbus segment with just two devices, but in the conventional single-trunk
configuration, that is deemed necessary to minimize the risk of accidental plant shutdown.

**Failure analysis**

Since we are comparing a conventional fieldbus physical layer with a fault-tolerant physical layer, we can effectively ignore all other sources of plant stoppage (blocked lines, primary power outage, pump seal failure, etc.) in this analysis. We are concerned only with the cost incurred if a fieldbus power conditioner or segment cable fails.

Let's assume that the cost of a spurious trip in a plant of this size is $250,000. The spurious trip rate due to the standard fieldbus system is estimated as once every five years, and the spurious trip rate resulting from a failure in the fault-tolerant fieldbus system is estimated once every 25 years (we can demonstrate that the fault-tolerant design generates a ten-fold improvement in segment MTBF, so assuming only a five-fold improvement is conservative).

The annual cost of spurious trips for the conventional plant is $250,000 divided by 5 years, or $50,000 per year. The annual cost of spurious trips for a fault-tolerant plant is $250,000 divided by 25 years, or $10,000 per year. The potential benefit is therefore $40,000 per year ($50,000 minus $10,000).

Another analysis concerns the cost benefit over the investment lifecycle of any plant, which modern technology has reduced to something like ten years. In this case, the fault-tolerant system represents a CAPEX saving ($218,700 minus $198,170, or $20,530) which generates $33,441 at, say, 5% for ten years.

Therefore, selecting a fault-tolerant system generates:

- **CAPEX Return:** $33,441 (savings in capital expense)
- **OPEX Return:** $400,000 (savings in spurious trips)
- **Total:** $433,441 ("free" additional income over ten years)

This is, of course, a very simplified argument made by a systems engineer and not an accountant, and all the assumptions are open to re-interpretation by appropriate financial experts and operations managers. For example, there is no separate accounting of systems design time, maintenance costs, repair times, spares stock holding, etc. Current suppliers of power conditioners frequently sell at massive discounts in order to win market share over their rivals. However, the fault-tolerant

**Figure 3 — Fault-tolerant segment design.**
system discussed here does not demand any specialized attention over the standard system, nor does it require any additional design and service costs — no special software is required, and the same power conditioner is used throughout. The only real difference is that the fault-tolerant segments should be tested once a year, typically by unplugging one of the power conditioners or its cable, to demonstrate that the segment (and plant) continues to operate even with one failure. This level of testing helps to justify the low Probability of Failure on Demand claimed for the fault-tolerant segments.

Conclusion

It seems very clear that this new approach to segment design does not increase costs over a conventional “one-size-fits-all” design; when the concept is properly applied, it actually costs less. The resulting improvement in real plant availability creates still greater benefit for the plant operator, and the positive cash flow generated is both dramatic and undisputable. Prospective fieldbus users now have further evidence that Foundation fieldbus will be advantageous for their plant and their management, and the uptake rate for this technology can only increase further, to dominate the landscape for industrial networking and process control.

Regional Roundup: Middle East & Africa

FOUNDATION fieldbus gains acceptance in key process industry region

From oil and gas facilities on the Persian Gulf, to refineries in Kuwait and Saudi Arabia, Foundation technology is improving the business results of industrial end users throughout the Middle East and Africa — and around the world.

This issue of Fieldbus Report includes a roundup of Foundation fieldbus installation activity in the fast-growing Middle East region.

ACBC To Expand Carbon Black Processing Unit

Alexandria Carbon Black Company (ACBC) of Aditya Birla Group, a carbon black producer, recently issued a letter of intent to Emerson Process Management to supply a PlantWeb digital architecture scope with Foundation fieldbus technology to automate their expansion project in Egypt. This new project, which follows the earlier successful installation of a DeltaV automation system under FOUNDATION technology to communicate with intelligent field devices from Emerson, including Rosemount 3051 and 848T transmitters, Micro Motion Coriolis flowmeters along with AMS Suite to upgrade performance with improved product quality.

For their expansion project, ACBC insisted on an automation technology that could deliver important benefits like reduced process variability, lower engineering and installation costs, and decreased maintenance costs.

Scarab Saffron Gas Fields Reduce Operating Costs

The Scarab Saffron gas fields represent the first deepwater development to be undertaken in the eastern Mediterranean. The fields lie in the West Delta Deep Marine concession near Alexandria, Egypt, and are the largest natural gas development in that country.

The latest FOUNDATION fieldbus technology provided by Yokogawa was chosen to reduce operating costs at the new on-shore facilities. Design, engineering, procurement, supply, testing and commissioning were completed smoothly and on schedule, ensuring the benefits of FOUNDATION fieldbus were quickly realized.

Qatar Shell Improves Efficiency of GTL Plant

Honeywell was selected by Qatar Shell GTL Ltd. to design and implement the integrated process automation system for the Pearl Gas to Liquids (GTL) plant. This includes installation of the Experion Process Knowledge System (PKS) Release 300, with FOUNDATION fieldbus communication, to tie together all critical subsystems in the plant.

With the integrated automation platform, Qatar Shell GTL Ltd. will be able to efficiently control GTL operations from production at the platforms, to processing at the onshore plant, to shipping of the finished product. Data from equipment such as process controls, field devices and safety systems will be sent to the control room, enabling operators to make better decisions faster.

Shell Ensures Safety of Oil Storage Terminal

The Bonny Island Terminal Project in Nigeria comprises 24 oil storage tanks for Shell Petroleum Development Corporation. The main engineering contractor for the project chose the Korean subsidiary of Yokogawa to provide the CENTUM CS3000 control system with FOUNDATION fieldbus capability for the site.

The fieldbus network at Bonny Island includes a total of 6,000 devices, of which 1,600 are located in Zone 1 hazardous areas. Intrinsic safety was selected as the preferred protection method for this hazardous sector, with fieldbus power provided by MTL’s FISCO power supplies. These devices are used in conjunction with two-way and four-way, intrinsically safe Megablock and FBT1-IS terminators to create fieldbus segments that may be “live-worked” throughout without gas clearance procedures.

PDO Integrates Technology For Wellhead Control

Petroleum Development Oman (PDO), a Shell operating company, selected Yokogawa to implement its STARROOM solution for wellhead control. The automation system, utilizing FOUNDATION fieldbus, provides transparent information technology from field devices to SCADA and management tools.

PDO has benefitted from flexible configuration supporting redundancy and hot swapping of I/O, as well as seamless connections to secure intranet/Internet and FOUNDATION fieldbus H1 networks. The system enables reporting and securing of valuable data under extreme ambient conditions, and also provides remote programming, diagnostics, maintenance and management functions.